REPRESENTATION OF SOME SPECIAL FUNCTIONS ON TRANSCENDENCE BASIS
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Abstract. The special functions such as multiple harmonic sums, polyzetas, or multiple polylogarithms are compatible with the structure of quasi-shuffle algebras. We express non-commutative generating series of these special functions on the transcendence bases of the algebras and then identify local coordinates to reduce their polynomial relations or asymptotic expansions indexed by these bases.
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1 Introduction

A harmonic sum for the simple index, $s \in \mathbb{N}_+$, is defined by the sum $H_s(N) := 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \ldots + \frac{1}{N^s}$. We know that the limit $\lim_{N \to \infty} H_s(N)$ is also finite whenever $s > 1$ and one calls this limit the zeta number. For example

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} H_2(N) = \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{N^2} = \zeta(2).$$

These definitions are also extended to a set of multi-index called multiple harmonic sums and polyzetas (or multiple zeta values), respectively. For each composition of positive integers $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_r), s_1 \geq 1, r, N \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$H_s(N) := \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \ldots > n_r > 0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{1}{n_i^{s_i} \ldots n_j^{s_j}},$$

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n_1 > \ldots > n_r > 0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{1}{n_i^{s_i} \ldots n_j^{s_j}}.$$

Example 1.

$$H_{2,1}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > n_2 \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^n n_2} = \frac{1}{2^2} + \left( \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4^2} \right) + \ldots,$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^2(N-1)} + \frac{1}{N^2(N-2)} + \ldots = \zeta(2,1).$$

Furthermore, this structure also has an other infinity form, called multiple polylogarithms, such a

$$\text{Li}_n(z) := \sum_{n_1 > \ldots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{n_1} \ldots n_r^{n_r}}.$$
2 Quasi-shuffle algebra with the deformation $q$

Let’s denote $Y := \{ y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ an alphabet totally ordered by $y_1 > y_2 > \cdots$. A word is a finite sequence of letters and $Y^*$ denotes the set of all words including the empty word, denoted by $1_Y$. This set is a free monoid$^2$ and $1_Y$ is a neutral element. We call each linear combination, over the field $Q$ of words in $Y^*$ a (formal) polynomial and $Q(Y)$ denotes the set of all polynomials. This set equipped with the concatenation product follows a free algebra with unit $1_Y$. A Lyndon word is a nonempty word that is smaller than all its nontrivial proper right factors and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ denotes the set of all Lyndon words in $Y^*$.

For any $q$ belonging to any field containing the field of rational numbers, the $q$–shuffle product, denoted by $\shuffle_q$, is defined by recurrent formula as follows: $\forall y_k, y_k \in Y, \forall u, v \in Y^*$

$$\begin{align*}
u \shuffle_q Y^* & = 1_Y \cdot \nu = u, \\
y_k u \shuffle_q y_k v & = y_k (u \shuffle_q y_k v) + y_k (y_k u \shuffle_q v) + q y_k \cdot y_k (u \shuffle_q v).
\end{align*}$$

**Example 2.**

$$\begin{align*}
y_2 \shuffle_q y_3 y_1 & = y_2 (1_Y \cdot \nu y_3 y_1) + y_3 (y_2 \shuffle_q y_1) \\
& = y_2 y_3 y_1 + y_3 y_2 y_1 + y_3 y_1 y_2 + (y_2 y_3 y_1).
\end{align*}$$

This product is exactly the shuffle product (denoted by $\shuffle$) for $q = 0$ and the stuffle product (denoted by $\shuffle$) for $q = 1$. This product is commutative and associative hence, $(A(Y), \shuffle_q, 1_Y)$ is a commutative, associative algebra with unit, where $A := Q[q]$ is the field extension of $Q$ containing $q$. Here, we still use the notation $\shuffle_q$ as a morphism

$$\shuffle_q : A(Y) \otimes A(Y) \longrightarrow A(Y)$$

We denote $\Delta \shuffle_q$ and $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$ as the dual laws of the $q$–shuffle product and the concatenation product, respectively; this means that for all $w \in Y^*$,

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta \shuffle_q (w) & = \sum_{u, v \in Y^*} \langle \Delta \shuffle_q (w) \mid u \otimes v \rangle u \otimes v \\
& = \sum_{u, v \in Y^*} \langle w \mid u \shuffle_q v \rangle u \otimes v, \\
\Delta_{\text{conc}} (w) & = \sum_{u, v \in Y^*} \langle \Delta_{\text{conc}} (w) \mid u \otimes v \rangle u \otimes v
\end{align*}$$

We proved (in paper [1]) that the coproduct $\Delta \shuffle_q$ is compatible with the concatenation product. This means that $\Delta \shuffle_q (w) = \Delta \shuffle_q (u) \Delta \shuffle_q (v)$, whereas $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$ is compatible with the $q$–shuffle product, that means $\Delta_{\text{conc}} (u \shuffle_q v) = \Delta_{\text{conc}} (u) \shuffle_q \Delta_{\text{conc}} (v)$. An important point to note here is the weight of the word $w = y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_k}$ to be (and denoted by) $\langle w \rangle = s_1 + \cdots + s_k$. Due to these definitions we can see that $\Delta \shuffle_q (w)$ is the polynomial of words in weight $(w)$ and $w \shuffle_q w$ is the polynomial of words in weight $(u) + (v)$. Consequently, they all form the two algebraic structures in duality as follows:

**Proposition 1** ([1]). $(A(Y), \text{conc}, 1_Y, \Delta \shuffle_q, \epsilon, S^{\text{conc}})$ and $(A(Y), \shuffle_q, 1_Y, \Delta_{\text{conc}}, \epsilon, S^\shuffle_q)$ are the graded Hopf algebras in duality.

On the other hand, we proved that the algebraic morphism defined on letters by

$$\pi_k (y_k) = y_k + \sum_{i \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{q}{i} \right\}^{i-1} \sum_{s_1 + \cdots + s_i = k} y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_i},$$

is an isomorphism between the two algebraic algebras $\mathcal{H}_L = (A(Y), \text{conc}, 1_Y, \Delta_L, \epsilon, S_L)$ and $\mathcal{H} \shuffle_q = (A(Y), \shuffle_q, 1_Y, \Delta \shuffle_q, \epsilon, S \shuffle_q)$. Therefore, each letter is a primitive$^4$ element in $\mathcal{H}_L$ and follows its image $\pi_k (y_k)$ to be primitive in $\mathcal{H} \shuffle_q$. This result helps us to construct a linear basis for the space of the Lie algebra generated by primitive elements. We denote here by $\{ \Pi \}_{l \in \mathcal{L}(Y)}$ the Poincaré–Birkhoff Witt basis (PBW-basis for short), and it is computed according to the recurrent formula [1]:

$$\begin{align*}
\Pi_{y_k} & = \pi_k (y_k) \quad \text{for } y_k \in Y, \\
\Pi_{l} & = [\Pi_{l_1}, \Pi_{l_2}] \\
\Pi_{w} & = \Pi_{l_1} \cdots \Pi_{l_k} \quad \text{for } w = l_{1} \cdots l_{k}.
\end{align*}$$

where $(l_1, l_2)$ is the standard factorization of $l$, $w = l_{1} \cdots l_{k}$.

**Example 3.**

$$\begin{align*}
\Pi_{y_1} & = y_1, \\
\Pi_{y_2} & = y_2, \\
\Pi_{y_1 y_2} & = y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1 = - \frac{q}{2} y_1^2, \\
\Pi_{y_3 y_1 y_2} & = y_3 y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1 y_3 = \frac{q}{2} y_2 y_1^2.
\end{align*}$$

On the other hand, we also established a formula for the dual basis$^4$, denoted by $\langle \Sigma w \rangle_{u \in Y^*}$, by

$$\langle \Sigma w \rangle_{u \in Y^*} (w) = \sum_{u, v \in Y^*} \langle \Sigma \shuffle_q (w) \mid u \otimes v \rangle u \otimes v.$$

\[\text{The binary operation here is the concatenation product.}\]

\[\text{A polynomial } P \text{ is primitive for the coproduct } \Delta_L (P) = P \otimes 1_Y + 1_Y \otimes P.\]

\[\text{This pair of bases is dual in meaning that } \langle \Sigma u \mid \Pi_v \rangle = \delta_{u,v} \text{ for any } u, v \in Y^*.\]
the recurrent formula [1]:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{y_k} &= y_k, \\
\Sigma_l &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{s_i} \Sigma_{1\ldots i_{n-1}}, \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{n} y_{s_i} \ldots y_{s_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{1\ldots i_{n-1}}, \\
\Sigma_w &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{s_i} \ldots y_{s_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{1\ldots i_{n-1}}, \\
\end{aligned}
\]  

(10)

Example 4.

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{y_1} &= y_1, \\
\Sigma_{y_2} &= y_2, \\
\Sigma_{y_3} &= y_3, \\
\Sigma_{y_3 y_3 y_2 y_4} &= y_3 y_3 y_2 y_4 + y_3 y_3 y_4 y_2, \\
&\quad + q(y_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} y_4 y_2 + \frac{1}{2} y_3 y_1 + 2^2 y_6).
\end{aligned}
\]

This basis reduces a transcendence basis, \(\{\Sigma_l\}_{l \in \text{Lynd} Y}\), of the algebra \(\mathcal{A}(Y)\). It permits us to express the diagonal series \(D_Y := \sum w \otimes w\), an element in the algebra \(\mathcal{A}(\langle Y \rangle) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\langle Y \rangle)\), as the shuffle product on the left of the tensor and the concatenation product on the right.

**Proposition 2** ([1]).

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_Y &= \sum_{w \in X^*} \Sigma_w \otimes \Pi_w \\
&= \sum_{i_1 > \ldots > i_k} \Sigma_{i_1} \Sigma_{i_2} \ldots \Sigma_{i_k} \Pi_{i_1} \Pi_{i_2} \ldots \Pi_{i_k} \\
&= \prod_{l \in \text{Lynd} Y} \exp(\Sigma_l \otimes \Pi_l),
\end{aligned}
\]

(11)

where the last product takes Lyndon words in decreasing order.

**3 Representation of special functions on transcendence bases**

**3.1 Representation of multiple polylogarithms**

We now consider the above algebra in the case of the alphabet \(X = \{x_0, x_1\}\), totally ordered by \(x_0 < x_1\), with the shuffle product (it means \(q = 0\)). At that time, the couple of bases in duality [2] is denoted by \(\{P_w\}_{w \in X^*}\), the PBW-basis, and \(\{S_w\}_{w \in X^*}\), Schützenberger basis. It follows from (11)\(^6\)

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_X &= \sum_{w \in X^*} w \otimes w = \sum_{w \in X^*} S_w \otimes P_w \\
&= \prod_{l \in \text{Lynd} X} \exp(S_l \otimes P_l).
\end{aligned}
\]

We have seen at (3) that a multiple polylogarithm is determined for each multi-index \(s = (s_1, \ldots, s_r)\) associates with the word \(w = x_0^{s_0} x_1^{s_1} \ldots x_r^{s_r}\). Thus, the multiple polylogarithms can be rewritten as:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_w(z) &= \sum_{n_1 > \ldots > n_r \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_r^{s_r}}, \quad |z| < 1.
\end{aligned}
\]

(13)

Using two differential forms \(\omega_0(z) := \frac{dz}{z}\) and \(\omega_1(z) := \frac{dz}{z^2}\) with the conventions that \(\mathcal{L}_{x_1} = 1\) and \(\mathcal{L}_{y_1}(z) = \int_0^z \omega_1(t) = \log(z)\), one can express the multiple polylogarithms, thank to Frederic criterion, in the form of iterated integral [3, 4],

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{x_1}(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} = \int_0^z \omega_1(t) = -\log(1-z), \\
\mathcal{L}_{x_2}(z) &= \int_0^z \omega_1 \mathcal{L}_w, \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, 1\}.
\end{aligned}
\]

(14)

Following this representation, one proved that the multiple polylogarithms are compatible with the shuffle product, namely [2, 5]:

\[
\forall u, v \in X^*, \quad \mathcal{L}_u(z) \mathcal{L}_v(z) = \mathcal{L}_{uv}(z).
\]

(15)

This permits us to extend \(\mathcal{L}\) as a morphism:

**Theorem 1** ([3]). Let \(C := \mathbb{C}[\frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{z}}]\). The mapping \(w \mapsto \mathcal{L}_w\) is the isomorphism of \((\mathbb{C}(X), \otimes, 1_X)\) to \(C([1-\mathcal{L}_w]_{w \in X^*})*1_{\Omega}\), where \(\Omega := C \setminus (\{-\infty, 0\} \cup [1, +\infty))\).

The non-commutative generating series of multiple polylogarithms is defined as an image of the morphism\(^6\) on the double series \(D_X\) though \(\mathcal{L} \otimes \text{id}_X\):

\[
\begin{aligned}
L(z) &= \mathcal{L} \otimes \text{id}_X \cdot (D_X) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \mathcal{L}_w(z) w \\
&= e^{-\log(1-z)x_1} \prod_{l \in \text{Lynd} X} e^{\mathcal{L}_w(z) P_l} e^{\log(z)x_0}.
\end{aligned}
\]

On the other hand, for any Lyndon word \(l \in (\text{Lynd} X)\), one has \(S_l \in x_0 X^* x_1\). Therefore, we can consider the non-commutative generating series, denoted by \(L_{\text{reg}}\), as well as its evaluation at \(z = 1\) [3], we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_{\text{reg}}(z) &= \prod_{l \in \text{Lynd} X} e^{\mathcal{L}_w(z) P_l}, \\
\lim_{z \to 1} Z_{\text{reg}} := \prod_{l \in \text{Lynd} X} e^{\mathcal{L}_w(S_l) P_l}.
\end{aligned}
\]

(16)

Moreover, one studies about the monodromy of the multiple polylogarithms on close curves by Chen's series and the differential equation Drinfeld [3, 6] to state the following proposition:

\[\text{Note that, } x_0, x_1 \text{ are respectively the smallest and the largest Lyndon words in } X^*\] and \(P_{s_0} = S_{s_0} = x_0, P_{s_1} = S_{s_1} = x_1\).

\[\text{Note this morphism isn't continue on the tensor product } (Q(X), \otimes, 1_X) \otimes (Q(X), \text{conc}, 1_X) \text{ but in the subalgebra } L_{\text{reg}}(X) = \text{span}_{Q}[u \otimes v \mid |u| = |v|].\]
Proposition 3 ([3]).

i) For all curve \( z_0 \sim z \) in \( \Omega \), one has \( L(z) = S_{z_0 \leadsto z}(z_0) \).

ii) In the special case of curve \( 1 - t \), one has

\[
L(x_0, 1 - t) = L(-x_1, -x_0 | t)Z_{\perp}.
\]

(17)

We now use an automorphism of \( \mathbb{Q}(X) \) of the concatenation product, denoted by \( \sigma \), verified 
\[ \sigma(x_0) = -x_1, \sigma(x_1) = -x_0. \]

Note that, for all words \( w \in X^* \), \( P_w \) and \( S_w \) are homogeneous polynomial of weight \( |w| \), the length of \( w \). Furthermore, \( \mathbb{Q}(X) \) is a graded space admitting \( \{ P_w \}_{w \in X^*} \) and \( \{ S_w \}_{w \in X^*} \). We can see more precisely by the following diagram illustrating a matrix representation of \( \sigma \) in a subspace of weight \( n \), denoted by \( X_n := \text{span}\{ u_1^{(n)}, \ldots, u_{2^n}\} \), where \( \{ u_1^{(n)}, \ldots, u_{2^n}\} \) is the set of all words of weight \( n \) (length in this case) \( n \), \( E^{(n)} \) denotes the matrix representation of \( \sigma \) with respect to this basis: for all \( 1 \leq i, j \leq 2^n \),

\[
E^{(n)}_{ij} := \langle \sigma(P_{u_i}^{(n)}) | P_{u_j}^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \sigma(S_{u_i}^{(n)}) | S_{u_j}^{(n)} \rangle,
\]

(18)

\[
(\mathbb{X}_n, \{ P_{u_j}^{(n)} \}_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n}) \xrightarrow{\text{duality}} (\mathbb{X}_n, \{ S_{u_j}^{(n)} \}_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n})
\]

Proposition 4. Let \( L(z) \) be the non-commutative generating series of multiple polylogarithms, we have

\[
\sigma[L(z)] = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{S_w}(z)\sigma(P_w) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{\sigma(S_w)}(z)P_w.
\]

(19)

Proof:

\[
\sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{S_w}(z)\sigma(P_w) = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \text{Li}_{S_{u_i}}(z)\sigma(P_{u_j})
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \text{Li}_{S_{u_i}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} E^{(n)}_{ij} P_{u_j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} E^{(n)}_{ij} \text{Li}_{S_{u_j}}(z)P_{u_j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} E^{(n)}_{ij} \text{Li}_{\sigma(S_{u_j})}(z)P_{u_j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \text{Li}_{\sigma(S_{u_j})}(z)P_{u_j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{\sigma(S_w)}(z)P_w.
\]

\[
\square
\]

For this reason, we can rewrite relation (17) as follows:

\[
\sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{S_w}(z)P_w = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_{\sigma(S_w)}(1 - z)P_w Z_{\perp}.
\]

(20)

\[\text{[Here, we understand } L(z) \text{ as } L(x_0, x_1 | z).]\n
\[|w| \text{ denotes the length of the word } w.\]

From this formula, by identifying local coordinates, we get relations among the multiple polylogarithms indexed by basis \( \{ S_i \} \in \mathbb{E}_{\text{st}}X \). The following example are computed by our program running under Maple.

Example 5.

\[
\text{Li}_{S_{x_0}}(z) = \log(z), \quad \text{Li}_{S_{x_1}}(z) = -\log(1 - z),
\]

\[
\text{Li}_{S_{x_0x_1}}(z) = -\log(z) \log(1 - z) - \text{Li}_{S_{x_1}}(1 - z) + \zeta(S_{x_0x_1}),
\]

\[
\text{Li}_{S_{x_0^2}}(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log(1 - z)^2 \log(z) + \log(z) \text{Li}_{S_{x_0x_1}}(1 - z) - \text{Li}_{S_{x_0}^2}(1 - z) + \zeta(S_{x_0^2}) + \log(z)\zeta(S_{x_0x_1}).
\]

3.2 Representation of multiple harmonic sums

We have seen at (1) that a multiple harmonic sum is determined for each multi-index \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}_r \). Similar to the idea of the previous subsection, these compositions of positive integers, \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Y}_r \), are encoded by the words \( w = y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_r} \). Thus, the multiple harmonic sums can be rewritten as

\[
H_w(N) := \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \cdots > n_r \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r}}.
\]

(21)

Note that, for each composition \( s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r) \), we have the reducing expression

\[
H_{y_s}(N) = \sum_{n_1 = r}^{N} \frac{H_{y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_r}}(n_1 - 1)}{n_1},
\]

(22)

by the reason

\[
H_{y_s}(N) = \sum_{n_1 = r}^{N} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r}} = \sum_{n_1 = r}^{N} \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{n_1 - 1 \leq n_2 \leq n_1 - 2} \cdots \sum_{n_1 - 1 \leq n_r \leq n_1 - 2} \frac{1}{n_r^{s_r}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n_1 = r}^{N} \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{n_1 - 1 \leq n_2 \leq n_1 - 2} \cdots \sum_{n_1 - 1 \leq n_r \leq n_1 - 2} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n_1 = r}^{N} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r}}.
\]

This allows us to prove, by induction, that multiple harmonic sums are compatible with the stuffle product [7]. It means that for all words \( w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{Y}_r \), we have

\[
H_{w_1}(N)H_{w_2}(N) = H_{w_1 \sqcup w_2}(N).
\]

(23)

Proposition 5. The mapping \( w \mapsto H_w \) is the isomorphism between \( (\mathbb{Q}(Y), \sqcup, 1_Y) \) and the algebra of multiple harmonic sums with the standard product, denoted by \( (H_{\text{st}}, \cdot, 1) \).
Because the set of the Lyndon words freely generates the algebra of the quasi-shuffle product [8], it follows the isomorphism $H_k \simeq Q[H_i, i \in \mathcal{L}(Y)]$.

Moreover, by using the expression of diagonal series $D_Y$ (see (11)), we can factorize the non-commutative generating series of multiple harmonic sums $H := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Y}} H_w w$ as follows

**Proposition 6.**

\[
H = \prod_{t \in \mathcal{L}(Y)} \exp(H_{y_1} \Pi_t)
\]

\[
= \exp H_{y_1} \prod_{t \in \mathcal{L}(Y) \setminus \{y_1\}} \exp(H_{y_1} \Pi_t).
\]

The original generating series of multiple harmonic sums forms a multiple polylogarithms deformed the factor $\frac{1}{1-z}$, namely for all multiindices $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r)$,

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} H_s(n) z^n = \frac{\text{Li}_s(z)}{1-z}.
\]

Indeed,

\[
\frac{\text{Li}_s(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n \sum_{| \mathcal{N}_s \geq n} \frac{z^{| \mathcal{N}_s |}}{| \mathcal{N}_s |} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\mathcal{N}_s \geq n} \frac{z^{n+| \mathcal{N}_s |}}{| \mathcal{N}_s |} = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_s(n) z^n.
\]

Here we accept that $H_s(n) = 0$ for any $n < r$. In other words, $H_s(n)$ is the coefficient of $z^n$ in the Taylor development of $\frac{\text{Li}_s(z)}{1-z}$ in the system $\{z^N | N \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

By the way, according to the representations of multiple polylogarithms in the above subsection we obtain relations or asymptotic expansions of multiple harmonic sums.

### 3.2.1 Generating series of multiple harmonic sums on the alphabet $X$

For any word $w \in X^*$, we denote $G^X_s(z) := \frac{\text{Li}_s(z)}{1-z}$ and $G^X(z) := \sum_{w \in X^*} G^X_s(z)$. By the way, using formula (20), we have the following expressions:

\[
G^X(1-z) = \sum_{w \in X^*} G^X_s(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1-z)}{z} Z_{\mathcal{Y}^i}.
\]

**Example 6.** According to equality (27), we reduce the following relations by identifying local coordinates:

\[
G^X_{s_0}(1-z) = \frac{\log(1-z)}{z}.
\]

We use the notation $[z^N] G^X_s(z)$ for the coefficient of $z^N$ in the Taylor development of $G^X_s(z)$ in the scale of comparison $\{|1-z|^i \log^j (1-z), i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. From the representation of $G^X_s(z)$, we can reduce asymptotic expansions of multiple harmonic sums in the scale of comparison $\{z^i \log^j |n|, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

**Example 7.**

\[
H_{s_0} z \frac{\log^0 (1-z)}{1-z} \quad \frac{\log^1 (1-z)}{1-z} + O\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right).
\]

**3.2.2 Generating series of multiple harmonic sums on the alphabet $Y$**

We now use the linear projection $\pi_Y : Q(X) \to Q(Y)$ which associates every word $x_0^{-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{-1} x_1$ with the word $y_0 \cdots y_s$, and admits the convention $\pi_Y(w_{s_0}) = 0$ for any $w \in X^*$. Then, for any word $w = y_0 \cdots y_s \in Y^*$, we set $G^Y_s(z) := \frac{\text{Li}_s(z)}{1-z}$ and

\[
G^Y(z) := \pi_Y G^X(z) = \pi_Y \sum_{w \in X^*} G^X_s(z) \sum_{w \in Y^*} G^Y(z).
\]

From this and formula (20), we have

\[
G^Y(z) = \lim_{z \to 1} \exp((y_1 + 1) \log \frac{1}{1-z}) \pi_Y Z_{\mathcal{Y}^i}.
\]

Moreover, by expanding $\exp((y_1 + 1) \log \frac{1}{1-z})$ in the form of the original generating series of $y_1$, we get

\[
\exp((y_1 + 1) \log \frac{1}{1-z}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} G^Y_{y_1}(z) y_1^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \sum_{N \geq 0} H^Y_{y_1}(N) z^N \right) y_1^k.
\]
\[ = \sum_{N \geq 0} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} H_{k\ell}(N)y_1^k \right) z^N \]
\[ = \sum_{N \geq 0} \exp \left( - \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{k\ell}(N) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right) z^N. \]

Consequently,
\[ H = \exp (H_{y_1} y_1) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}y \backslash \{y_1\}} \exp(\Pi_\ell) \]
\[ = [z^N] G^Y(z) \]
\[ \sim_{N \to \infty} \exp \left( - \sum_{k \geq 1} H_{k\ell}(N) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right) \pi_Y Z_{\|}. \]

**Example 8.** According to expression (28), we reduce the following relations by identifying local coordinates:
\[ H_{x_{y_1}}(N) = \ln(N) + \gamma + 1/2 N^{-1} - 1/12 N^{-2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{120} \gamma^4 + O(N^{-5}) \]
\[ H_{x_{y_2}}(N) = -N^{-1} + 1/2 N^{-2} - 1/6 N^{-3} \]
\[ + (1/N^2 + \zeta(2)) \]
\[ H_{x_{y_1}}(N) = 1/2 (\ln(N) + \gamma^2) + 1/2 \ln(N) + 1/2 \gamma \]
\[ + \frac{1/12}{N^2} \ln(N) - 1/12 \gamma + 1/8 - 1/24 N^{-3} \]
\[ + (\frac{1}{120} \ln(N) + \frac{1}{120} \gamma + \frac{1}{288}) \gamma^4 + O(N^{-5}) \]
\[ H_{x_{y_3}}(N) = -1/2 N^{-2} + 1/2 N^{-3} - 1/4 \gamma \]
\[ + (\zeta(3) + O(N^{-5})) \]
\[ H_{x_{y_2y_1}}(N) = 1/2 \zeta(3) + \frac{1 + \ln(N) + \gamma}{N} \]
\[ + \frac{-1/2 - 1/2 \gamma - 1/2 \ln(N)}{N^2} \]
\[ + \frac{(7/18 + 1/6 \ln(N) + 1/6 \gamma) N^{-3} - 5/24}{N^4} \]
\[ + O(N^{-5}). \]

### 3.3 Representations of polyzetas

As we see the definition of polyzetas at (2), these convergent series are also compatible with the stuffe product like multiple harmonic sums. Using the expression in Proposition 6, we set
\[ Z_{\omega} := \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}y \backslash \{y_1\}} \exp(\zeta(\ell) \Pi_\ell). \] (28)

On the other hand, we conclude from (16) that polyzetas are also obtained by letting \( z \to 1 \) in multiple polylogarithms. Due to the isomorphism in the algebraic structures, we establish a bridge equation between the generating series \( Z_{\omega} \) and \( Z_{\|} \) as follows.

**Proposition 7 (9).** We have a bridge equation between the two spaces \( C\langle X \rangle \) and \( C\langle Y \rangle \):
\[ Z_{\omega} = B'(y_1) \pi_Y Z_{\|}, \] (29)
where \( B'(y_1) = \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \zeta(k)}{k} y_1^k \right) \).

Let \( Z_{\omega} \) be the \( Q \)-vector space generated by polyzetas of weight \( n \). Using this formula, we can rewrite the two sides on the same transcendence basis and then reduce the relations among polyzetas by identifying the local coordinates. On the one hand, by expressing the right hand side of (29) on the basis \( \{\zeta_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}y \backslash Y} \), we can identify coefficients on this basis [9, 10]:

**Example 9.** Relations of polyzetas in terms of irreducible elements indexed by the basis \( \{\zeta_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}y \backslash Y} \):

- **Weight 3:** \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1}), \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_2}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_2}), \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_3}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_3}). \)

- **Weight 4:**
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_2}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_2}), \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_3}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_3}), \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_2y_3}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_2y_3}). \)

- **Weight 6:**
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1}) = \frac{8}{35} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3, \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_2}) = \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^2 - \frac{4}{21} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3, \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_3}) = \frac{2}{7} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^2, \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_2y_1}) = -\frac{17}{30} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3 + \frac{9}{4} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^2, \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_1y_2y_2}) = \frac{3}{10} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3 - \frac{3}{4} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^2, \)
  \( \zeta(\zeta_{y_2y_2}) = \frac{11}{63} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^3 - \frac{1}{4} \zeta(\zeta_{y_1})^2, \)
\[ \zeta(S_{y_1y_1^l}) = \frac{1}{21} \zeta(S_{y_1^l})^3, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_2y_2^l}) = \frac{17}{50} \zeta(S_{y_2})^3 + \frac{3}{16} \zeta(S_{y_2})^2. \]

**Weight 7:**

\[ \zeta(S_{y_1y_2}) = \frac{35}{2} \zeta(S_{y_1}) - 10 \zeta(S_{y_2}) \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_2y_3}) = 5 \zeta(S_{y_2}) \zeta(S_{y_3}) - \frac{21}{2} \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ - \frac{4}{5} \zeta(S_{y_2})^3 \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_3y_1}) = - \zeta(S_{y_3}) \zeta(S_{y_1}) - \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{y_2})^2 \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ + \frac{7}{25} \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_3y_2}) = - \frac{3}{2} \zeta(S_{y_2})^2 \zeta(S_{y_2}) - \frac{217}{48} \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_3y_3}) = \frac{7}{24} \zeta(S_{y_3}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_4y_4}) = \frac{1}{10} \zeta(S_{y_4})^2 \zeta(S_{y_4}) + \frac{7}{48} \zeta(S_{y_4}), \]

**Weight 8**

\[ \zeta(S_{y_1}) = \frac{24}{175} \zeta(S_{y_2})^4, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_2y_3}) = \frac{126}{25} \zeta(S_{y_2})^4 - 720 \zeta(S_{y_3}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_2y_2}) = - \frac{282}{125} \zeta(S_{y_2})^4 + 2 \zeta(S_{y_2^l}) \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ + \frac{2880}{125} \zeta(S_{y_3}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_2y_1}) = \frac{6}{25} \zeta(S_{y_2})^4 - \zeta(S_{y_2^l}) \zeta(S_{y_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{y_3y_2}) = \frac{9}{2} \zeta(S_{y_2})^2 \zeta(S_{y_2}) - \frac{43}{5} \zeta(S_{y_2})^4, \]
\[ - 15 \zeta(S_{y_2}) \zeta(S_{y_2}^l) + 1440 \zeta(S_{y_3}), \]

On the other hand, we use the inverse of \( \pi_Y \), denoted by \( \pi_X \), to express equality (29) on the basis \( \{ S_i \} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{DynX}} \). It means that \( \pi_X \) is a morphism defined on the word by \( \pi_X(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = x_1^{n-1}x_1 \ldots x_0^{n-1}x_1 \), and applying to the two sides of (29) we have

\[ \pi_X(Z_{\bar{x}}) = B'(x_1) Z_{\bar{x}}. \]  

(30)

Hence, we can represent the left hand side of this bridge equation on the basis \( \{ S_i \} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{DynX}} \) and then identifying local coordinates to reduce polynomial relations among polyzetas [9, 10].

**Example 10.** Relations of polyzetas in terms of irreducible elements indexed by the basis \( \{ S_i \} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{DynX}} \):

**Weight 3:**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_1x_1}) = \zeta(S_{x_1x_1}), \]

**Weight 4:**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_3x_3}) = \frac{1}{10} \zeta(S_{x_3x_3})^2, \]

\[ \zeta(S_{x_4x_4}) = \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{x_4x_4})^2. \]

**Weight 5:**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_1x_1}) = - \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) + 2 \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_1x_1}) = - \frac{3}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) + \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_1x_1x_1}) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]

**Weight 6:**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = \frac{8}{35} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = \frac{6}{35} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{4}{105} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_3}) = \frac{23}{70} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3 - \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{2}{105} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{29}{210} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3 + \frac{3}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{6}{35} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{8}{21} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3 - \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{8}{35} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3. \]

**Weight 7**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = - \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \]
\[ - \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2 \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) + 3 \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_1x_1}) = - \frac{5}{3} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) + \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = - \frac{23}{60} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2 \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_1x_1}) = \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) - \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_1}) = - \frac{1}{20} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1})^2 \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}) + \frac{19}{16} \zeta(S_{x_2x_1}), \]

\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{8}{35} \zeta(S_{x_1x_1})^3. \]

**Weight 8**

\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = \frac{24}{175} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2})^4, \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) = \frac{6}{35} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2})^4 - \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}), \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_2x_2x_2}) = \frac{6638}{30625} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2})^4, \]
\[ - \frac{149753}{149753} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}) \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}), \]
\[ - \frac{29026}{1575} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2})^2 \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}), \]
\[ + \frac{2}{5} \zeta(S_{x_2x_2}x_2x_2). \]
\[ \zeta(S_{x_0 x_1}) = -\frac{11}{4} \zeta(S_{x_0 x_1}) \zeta(S_{x_2 x_1}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \zeta(S_{x_2 x_1})^2 \zeta(S_{x_0 x_1}) + \frac{61}{170} \zeta(S_{x_0 x_1})^4, \]
\[
\ldots
\]

The above examples, one again, show us that each polyzetas only has a linear representation of polyzetas of the same weight. Hence, we can list the elements of linear bases of \( Z \) corresponding to the bases \( \{\Sigma_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{X}} \) and \( \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{Y}} \) that confirm the Zagier's dimension conjecture\(^1\) (see [4]). Moreover, we can reduce algebraic bases (the normal product) from these representations. We show here two lists of irreducible elements up to weight 12 (see more [11]):

1. For the basis \( \{\Sigma_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{X}} \):
   \[ \zeta(\Sigma_{y_2}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_3}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_4}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_5}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_6}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_7}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_8}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_9}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_10}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_11}), \zeta(\Sigma_{y_12}). \]

2. For the basis \( \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{Y}} \):
   \[ \zeta(S_{x_0 x_1}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_2}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_3}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_4}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_5}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_6}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_7}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_8}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_9}), \zeta(S_{x_0 x_10}). \]

4 Conclusion

We represented special functions (multiple harmonic sums, polyzetas, and multiple polylogarithms) in forms of non-commutative generating series indexed by transcendence bases of quasi-shuffle algebras. By identifying the local coordinates of the Hausdorff groups, in shuffle and stuffle Hopf algebras, we can reduce polynomial relations or asymptotic expansions of these special functions indexed by the bases.
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