Silicon quantum-dots-based optical probe for fluorometric detection of Cr⁶⁺ ions

Do Duc Tho^{1*}, Luyen Van Nam¹, Nguyen Thi Thanh Huyen¹, Pham Manh Hung¹, Pham Van Thang¹, Truong Thi Ngoc Lien²,

¹Hanoi University of Science and Technology, 58 Le Thanh Nghị St., Hanoi, Vietnam ²Vietnam – Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Hi – tech Incubation and Training Centre, Km29 Thang Long Boulevard, Hanoi, Vietnam

> * Correspondence to Do Duc Tho <tho.doduc@hust.edu.vn> (Received: 6 October 2021; Accepted: 9 November 2021)

Abstract. In this report, silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) with the NH₂ functional group were synthesized with the hydrothermal method. The as-prepared SiQDs exhibit a strong fluorescence emission peak at 441 nm when excited at 355 nm and are effectively quenched upon adding Cr⁶⁺ ions. Hence, SiQDs were used as an optical probe to detect Cr⁶⁺ ions in solutions. The crystal structure of SiQDs was characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD). The Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was used to determine the linker groups on the SiQDs surface. The fluorescence spectroscopic technique with an excitation wavelength of 355 nm was used to quantify the Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration in the solutions in the range of 0.1–1000 μ M. Competition from common coexisting ions, such as K⁺, Na⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, and Pb²⁺, was ignorable. The test with actual samples showed good linearity for the Cr⁶⁺ concentration range of 0.1–50 μ M.

Keywords: Cr⁶⁺ ion, fluorometric detection, Si quantum dots, SiQDs, optical probe, hydrothermal method

1 Introduction

Over the past decades, the increasing toxicity of heavy metals has seriously affected the environment. One of those heavy metals is chromium, which exists in different oxidation states. Trivalent chromium (Cr^{3+}) and hexavalent chromium (Cr^{6+}) are primary oxidation states in the environment. Cr^{6+} ions have a stronger impact on human health and the environment than Cr^{3+} ions because of its high solubility, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, and teratogenicity in biological systems [1]. While Cr^{6+} exists primarily as highly soluble oxyanions [2], Cr³⁺ is less soluble and readily precipitates as Cr(OH)₃. Cr³⁺ has low toxicity and is considered an essential nutrient for many organisms [3]. In contrast, Cr⁶⁺ is 1000-fold more toxic than Cr³⁺ [4]. Nevertheless, the Cr⁶⁺ ion and other Cr⁶⁺ ion forms are used in different industries, including leather tanning, electroplating, painting, and metallurgy [1]. Therefore, chromium contamination has been reported in various industrial sites because of accidental leakages or improper disposal [6-9]. Hence, the determination of total chromium is crucial for environmental impact studies.

Recently, quantum dots (QDs) have attracted much attention because of their unique physical and optical properties, such as strong absorption, high quantum yield, fluorescence emission, availability for resizing by adding functional groups in the nanoscale, and high stability [10]. However, toxic inorganic precursors are commonly used in synthezing traditional QDs and may harm various biological systems [11]. Among the quantum dots, those of silicon, semiconductor Si nanoparticles ranging from 1 to 10 nm, have attracted much attention in analytical science because of their advantages, such as inertness, nontoxicity, abundance, and low cost addition, their highly [12]. In efficient fluorescence makes them promising optical probes for various biomedical and biological applications [13].

In this paper, the silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) with NH₂ functional groups, synthesized with the hydrothermal method, were used as an optical probe for detecting Cr⁶⁺ ions in solutions via the fluorescence quenching mechanism. Their structure and fluorescence properties were also addressed.

2 Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of SiQDs solution

All reagents used in the experiments were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich in the analytical grade without further purification. First, 1 g of trisodium citrate (TSC) was completely dissolved in 30 mL of deionized water (DI) in a Teflon cup magnetic under stirring and aerated simultaneously with high-purity nitrogen to purge dissolved oxygen for 30 minutes. Next, 3 mL of 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES) was added to the solution. The mixture was continuously stirred and aerated with nitrogen for five minutes. Afterwards, the Teflon cup was put in a stainless steel vessel, tightened, and covered



Fig. 1. Schematic illustration for stock SiQDs solution synthesis

with foil. The system was heated for 12 hours at 170 °C under magnetic stirring with a speed of 450 rpm. Finally, a SiQDs solution with yellowish colour was obtained. The synthesis schema of the stock SiQDs solution is shown in Fig. 1. From the obtained SiQDs solution, we prepared derivative SiQDS solutions for subsequent experiments by diluting it at the 1:300, 1:600, and 1:1200 (v/v) ratios. After dilution, these derivative SiQDs solutions strongly emitted a blue colour when excited with UV light of a 365 nm wavelength. The derivative SiQDs solution at the 1:600 dilution ratio was selected for the subsequent measurements.

2.2 Preparation of Cr⁶⁺ solutions

The 100 mM Cr⁶⁺ solution was obtained by dissolving 147.092 g potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) in 10 mL of DI water and then used as the first stock solution. Firstly, the derivative stock solutions with a concentration of 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 mM were prepared by diluting the first stock solution with an appropriate volume of DI water. Secondly, the Cr⁶⁺ ion solutions of different final concentrations were also prepared by diluting a specific volume of appropriate derivative stock solutions with a relevant volume of DI water. The concentration of these final Cr⁶⁺ ion solutions is shown in the first column of Table 1.

			Derivate Stock Sol	lution	►	
		Cr ⁶⁺	Cr ⁶⁺	Cr ⁶⁺	Cr ⁶⁺	
Final		(100 mM)	(10 mM)	(1 mM)	(0.1 mM)	D11120
Solutions	0.01				100	900
	0.02				200	800
	0.05				500	500
•	0.1					900
	1		100	100		900
	2		200			800
	2.5		250			750
	5		500			500
	6		600			400
	7		700			300
	8		800			200
	9		900			100
	10	100				900
	15	150				850
	20	200				800
	30	300				700
	40	400				600
	50	500				500
	60	600				400
	70	700				300
	80	800				200
	90	900				100
	100	1000				

Table 1	Volume	of Cr6+	ion solutions	(µL)
---------	--------	---------	---------------	------

2.3 Preparation of actual samples

Some actual samples were taken from Van Phuc village, the To Lich river, and the Nguyen Lan river, and they were subjected to sedimentation for 12 hours. Then, they were transferred into 1 mL glass vessels and named VP1, VP2, VP3, TL1,

TL2, TL3, TL4, TL5, NL1, and NL2 according to where they were collected (Van Phuc village, the To Lich river, and the Nguyen Lan river). Afterwards, a solution containing Cr⁶⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, and Pb²⁺ ions was dropped into each glass vessel. The obtained actual samples are shown in the first three columns of Table 2.

	Van Phuc	To Lich	Nguyen Lan	Cr ⁶⁺	Cr ⁶⁺	\mathbf{K}^{+}	Na⁺	Al ³⁺	Zn ²⁺	Pb ²⁺
	village	river	river	(5 mM)	(100 mM)	(20 mM)	(20 mM)	(20 mM)	(20 mM)	(20 mM)
VP1	1000									
VP2	940				10	10	20	10	10	
VP3	905				30	10	10	20	10	15
TL1		1000								
TL2		960		15		15	10			
TL3		945		20		10	15	10		
TL4		935			15	10	20	10	10	
TL5		885			35	20	10	20	10	20
NL1			1000							
NL2			965	15		10	10			

Table 2. Volume of wastewater samples (μL)

2.4 Instrumentation

The crystalline phase of the SiQDs was characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD) on an XRD Siemens D5005 diffractometer with Cu-K α radiation (2 θ = 10÷80°, step 0.02°). Fluorescence spectra were measured on a spectrofluorometer FL 3-22, Jobin Yvon-Spex, USA. FT-IR spectra were recorded with a wave number range of 375–4000 cm⁻¹ on a Jasco 4600 Fourier-transform spectrophotometer. All the measurements were carried out at ambient temperature.

3 Results and discussions

The X-ray diffraction pattern of SiQDs is shown in Fig. 2. We can see that the broad diffraction peak at 22° is unclear and disturbed.

The deconvolution of the X-ray diffraction pattern reveals the presence of the peaks assigned to amorphous SiO₂ (at approximately 22° – the red



Fig. 2. XRD pattern and its deconvolution of SiQDs

curve) and crystal plane (111) of crystal Si (at approximately 28° – the green curve) [14]. The crystalline grain size calculated from the Scherrer formula for this crystal plane is 0.34 nm (green curve).

The absorption spectrum of SiQDs is presented in Fig. 3. A typical absorption band centred at about 236 nm can be attributed to the π - π * transitions of N–SiQDs bonds [15]. Fig. 4 displays the FT-IR spectra of SiQDs and APTES in

the ranges of 375–2000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4a) and 2000– 4000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). The FT-IR spectrum of SiQDs reveals the existence of the C–H, O–Si–O, –NH₂, and Si–O–Si bonds in both APTES and SiQDs samples. The peak at 470 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the bending vibrations of O–Si–O (14). Strong N–H bending vibrations at 1590 cm⁻¹ and 3370 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4a, 4b, bottom) indicate the presence of the – NH₂ group on the SiQDs surface. Thanks to the presence of the –NH₂ group, SiQDs are well dispersed in water. Furthermore, the peak at 3370 cm⁻¹ appears in the APTES spectrum (Fig. 4b, up) with a weaker intensity than the one in the SiQDs spectrum (Fig. 4b, bottom) because the vibration of the –NH₂ bond appears more clearly in SiQDs.



Fig. 3. XRD pattern and its deconvolution of SiQDs



Fig. 4. FT-IR spectra of APTES and SiQDs in wavenumber ranges of 375–2000 (a) and 2000–4000 cm⁻¹ (b)

Fig. 5a displays the excitation and fluorescence spectra of SiQDs, and Fig. 5b demonstrates the fluorescence spectra of SiQDs solution with different Cr6+ ion concentrations. The characteristic absorption peak at 355 nm reveals that the synthesized SiQDs are uniformly dispersed [16]. The maximal emission intensity of SiQDs is observed at 441 nm. Similarly, as shown in the inset of Fig. 5a, the SiQDs are transparent in daylight and emit intense blue colour under the illumination of UV irradiation at 365 nm. Furthermore, the stability of SiQDs is confirmed by the fluorescence spectra of SiQDs at different intervals. The as-synthesized SiQDs are stable even after two-month storage at -10 °C. In contrast, H2O and other Cr6+ solutions emit primarily at a wavelength of 396 nm. The emission peak at the 452 nm wavelength is weak or absent (Fig. 5b).

The qualitative result of Cr^{6+} ion detection is shown in Fig. 6. The colour images of the samples containing Cr^{6+} ion in the range of 1–1000 μ M were taken under daylight (Fig. 6a) and UV light with a wavelength of 365 nm (Fig. 6b). Notably, the fluorescence intensity of the SiQDs solutions decreases remarkably with increasing Cr^{6+} concentration. The fluorescence spectra in Fig. 7 demonstrate that all peaks localize at the 441 nm wavelength. Their intensity decreases with increasing Cr^{6+} concentration, indicating that Cr^{6+} possibly forms complexes with SiQDs.



Fig. 5. Excitation and fluorescence spectra of SiQDs (a) and fluorescence spectra of added Cr⁶⁺ ion solutions (b)



Fig. 6. Fluorescence of Cr^{6+} solutions corresponding to concentrations in the range of 1–1000 μ M under daylight (a) and UV irradiation with wavelength of 365 nm (b)



Fig. 7. Fluorescence spectra of SiQDs solutions (1:1200) with $Cr^{\text{6+}}$ ion solutions with concentration in the range of 0.1–1000 μM

Under UV illumination (365 nm), electrons move from the valence band to the conduction band in SiQDs. Some of them might recombine with holes in the valence band and emit a photon. Others might combine with N atoms. Hence, the N atoms become more mobile. When the Cr⁶⁺ ions are present, the nitrogen atoms transfer these electrons to them, forming complexes between the SiQDs and the Cr⁶⁺ ions. Thus, the peak intensity in the fluorescence spectra of the SiQDs decreases with increasing Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration. The mechanism of the fluorescence quenching is shown in Fig. 8.



Fig. 8. Mechanism of the SiQDs fluorescence quenching

Fig. 9 illustrates the dependence of fluorescence intensity on Cr^{6+} ion concentration in the solutions of the SiQDs probes. It can be seen that the fluorescence intensity increases with the increasing concentration of the SiQDs. Probe 1:300 is the most sensitive, and probe 1:1200 reaches saturation rapidly. According to the linearity of the standard curve in the range of 0.1–50 μ M, we chose probe 1:1200 for detecting Cr⁶⁺ ions in actual samples.



Fig. 9. Difference of emission intensities at 441 nm in the absence (F_0) and presence (F) of Cr⁶⁺ of different SiQDs probes added different concentrations of Cr⁶⁺ ion

Fig. 10 shows the selectivity of the SiQDs optical probe to Cr⁶⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, and Pb²⁺ in the solutions with a concentration of 200 μ M. It can be noticed that the SiQDs optical probe reaches the best sensitivity to the Cr⁶⁺ ion.

Fig. 11 displays the fluorescence spectra of the actual samples. It is obvious that increasing the Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration leads to a decrease in the fluorescence signal in the VPs and TLs groups. The fluorescence intensity of the TL5 sample decreases substantially, corresponding to the most added Cr⁶⁺ amount. The calibration curve is linear for Cr⁶⁺ ion concentrations ($R^2 = 0.998$) from 0.1 to 50 µM (Fig. 12). The actual and determined Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration is approximately the same (Tab. 3). Hence, the optical probe can detect the Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration in actual samples.



Fig. 10. The selectivity of SiQDs optical probe to Cr^{6+} ion



Fig. 11. Fuorescence spectra of the SiQDs optical probe for actual samples



Fig. 12. Calibration curve of the changes in relative fluorescence intensity $(F_0 - F)/F_0$ versus Cr^{6+} concentrations in logarithmic scale

Name	Cr⁵⁺ ion conc. (actual) (μM)	(Fo – Fi)/Fo	Cr⁵⁺ ion conc. (found) (μM)
VP1	1.1	0.0235	1.1
VP2	11.4	0.0822	7.8
VP3	31.0	0.2102	33.7
TL1	2.0	0.0343	2.0
TL2	2.6	0.0317	1.7
TL3	2.9	0.0368	2.2
TL4	16.9	0.1372	17.3
TL5	36.8	0.2444	42.6
NL1	2.7	0.0421	2.7
NL2	3.4	0.0472	3.3

 Table 3. Comparison of actual and found Cr⁶⁺ ion concentration

4 Conclusion

We successfully synthesized SiQDs with surface functionalization. The SiQDs optical probe exhibited high sensitivity towards Cr^{6+} ions and was used to detect and qualify actual samples. The method has good linearity in the range of 0.1–50 μ M.

Funding statement

This research was financially supported by Hanoi University of Science and Technology under the project code T2020-PC-224.

References

- 1. Dupont L, Guillon E. Removal of hexavalent chromium with a lignocellulosic substrate extracted from wheat bran. Environ Sci Technol. 2003;37:4235-41.
- 2. Fendorf SE. Surface reactions of chromium in soils and waters. Geoderma. 1995;67:55-71.
- 3. Pechova A, Pavlata L. Chromium as an essential nutrient: A review. Vet Med (Praha). 2007;52:1-18.
- 4. Costa M. Potential hazards of hexavalent chromate in our drinking water. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2003;188:1-5.
- 5. Onchoke KK, Sasu SA. Determination of Hexavalent Chromium (Cr(VI)) Concentrations via Ion Chromatography and UV-Vis Spectrophotometry in Samples Collected from Nacogdoches Wastewater Treatment Plant, East Texas (USA). Adv Environ Chem. 2016;2016:1-10.
- 6. Shrivastava R, Upreti RK, Chaturvedi UC. Various cells of the immune system and intestine differ in their capacity to reduce hexavalent chromium. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2003;38:65-70.
- Flury B, Eggenberger U, Mäder U. First results of operating and monitoring an innovative design of a permeable reactive barrier for the remediation of chromate contaminated groundwater. Appl Geochemistry. 2009;24:687-96.
- Ragosta M, Caggiano R, D'Emilio M, Macchiato M. Source origin and parameters influencing levels of heavy metals in TSP, in an industrial background area of Southern Italy. Atmos Environ. 2002;36:3071-87.
- 9. Zazo JA, Paull JS, Jaffe PR. Influence of plants on the reduction of hexavalent chromium in wetland sediments. Environ Pollut 2008;156:29-35.
- Resch-Genger U, Grabolle M, Cavaliere-Jaricot S, Nitschke R, Nann T. Quantum dots versus organic dyes as fluorescent labels. Nat Methods. 2008;5:763–75.
- 11. Lewinski N, Colvin V, Drezek R. Cytotoxicity of nanopartides. Small. 2008;4:26-49.
- 12. Cheng X, McVey BFP, Robinson AB, Longatte G, O'Mara PB, Tan VTG, et al. Colloidal silicon quantum dots: from preparation to the modification of self-assembled monolayers for

bioimaging and sensing applications. Colloid Nanoparticles Biomed Appl XII. 2017;10078: 100780O.

- Phan LMT, Baek SH, Nguyen TP, Park KY, Ha S, Rafique R, et al. Synthesis of fluorescent silicon quantum dots for ultra-rapid and selective sensing of Cr(VI) ion and biomonitoring of cancer cells. Mater Sci Eng C. 2018;93:429-36.
- 14. Terada S, Xin Y, Saitow KI. Cost-Effective Synthesis of Silicon Quantum Dots. Chem Mater. 2020;32:8382-92.
- 15. Wang X, Yang Y, Huo D, Ji Z, Ma Y, Yang M, et al. A turn-on fluorescent nanoprobe based on Ndoped silicon quantum dots for rapid determination of glyphosate. Microchim Acta. 2020; 187.
- 16. Holmes JD, Ziegler KJ, Doty RC, Pell LE, Johnston KP, Korgel BA. Highly luminescent silicon nanocrystals with discrete optical transitions. J Am Chem Soc. 2001;123:3743-8.